

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,163	11/07/2000	Ji Zhang	CISCP194/3541	1039	
22434 7.	7590 01/05/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			PHILIPPE, GIMS S		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2613	3	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/708,163	ZHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gims S Philippe	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON1 at statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 November 2000.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific terms of the control of the contr	00 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for docusince a specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the foreign languages. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for docusing reference was included in the first sentence.	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Aperical priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In a list of the certified copies not report of the priority under 35 U.S.C. (a) the first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. (a)	oplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first action in response to application no. 09/708,163 filed on November 7th 2000 in which claims 1-31 are presented for examination.

Abstract

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The Abstract is objected to since it contains phraseologies such as "The present invention relates". Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2613

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-20, 22-28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGraw, Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042).

Regarding claims 1, 18, 23, 30 and 31, McGraw discloses a method and network device for providing first compressed video data unto a network (See Abstract and Fig. 1); comprising an embedder apparatus having a first embedder portion which embeds first compressed video data having a first compressed format in a transmission bitstream having a second compressed format (See McGraw col. 2, lines 57-67, col. 3, lines 1-20); and a transmitter that transmits the transmission bitstream having the second compressed format, the transmission bitstream including first compressed video data having the first compressed format (See col. 3, lines 25-41).

As per claims 2, 5, 7-10, 20, 22, 24, 25, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejections of claims 1, 18, 23, 30 and 31. In addition, because McGraw discloses directing inputs of different signal formats to at least one application subsystem by directing the input signal to different output ports according to the information embedded in the signal in real time, it is considered inherent that providing a plurality of format converter is a must (See McGraw col. 3, lines 16-23, col. 22, lines 40-65, col. 26, lines 64-67, and col. 42, lines 48-67).

As per claims 14 and 19, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejections of claims 1 and 18. In addition, McGraw further provides the claimed network interface in col. 44, lines 46-49.

As per claim 15, the broadcast and presentation system of fig. 1, item 10 is the claimed "headend" (See McGraw col. 4, lines 43-46).

As per claims 16-17 and 26, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claim 14. In addition, McGraw device further provides a memory for storing the bitstream and a general-purpose computer (See McGraw col. 24, lines 27-55).

As per claim 13, the bit rate converter is suggested in MCGraw col. 5, lines 3-6.

As per claims 3-4, 27, 28, a second compressed bitstream in a second format is suggested in McGraw col. 9, lines 43-67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGraw, Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042) in view of Lankford (US Patent no. 5,467.139).

As per claim 6, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 5.

It is noted that McGraw is silent about a scheduler comprised in the embedder as specified in claim 6.

However, Lankford discloses a device and network for providing compressed video data including the step of providing a scheduler comprised in the embedder (See Lankford col. 2, lines 41-50).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying McGraw's embedder by incorporating Lankford's scheduler in the device and network for providing compressed video data. The motivation for performing such a modification in McGraw is to operate the system according to a predetermined schedule as taught by Lankford (See Lankford col.2, lines 45-47).

6. Claims 11-12, 21, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGraw Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042) in view Wee et al. (US Patent no. 6,507,618).

Art Unit: 2613

As per claims 11-12, 21, 29, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1, 19 and 28.

It is noted that McGraw is silent about providing compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4 as specified.

However, Wee discloses a device and network for providing compressed video data including the step of providing compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4 (See Wee col. 7, lines 27).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying McGraw embedder by incorporating compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4. The motivation for performing such a modification in McGraw is to be able to support a wide variety of systems, including video recording, video editing, cable and satellite service providers as taught by Wee (See Wee col. 7, lines 21-31).

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grant et al. (US Patent no. 6553566) teaches viewer controlled multi-function system for processing television signals.

Art Unit: 2613

Rostoker et al. (US Patent no. 5729535) teaches method and apparatus for adapting a computer for wireless communications.

Chaddha et al. (US Patent no. 5768535) teaches software-based encoder for a software implemented end-to-end scalable video delivery system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S Philippe whose telephone number is (703) 305-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

GSP

December 30, 2003